



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,072	11/25/2003	Chih-Mu Huang	67,200-1178	5526
7590	11/28/2005		EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/723,072	HUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	Art Unit 2812	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-16, and 18-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)              |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.  |

## DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to an Election filed on 9/9/2005.

Currently, claims 1-5, 7-16, 18-21 are pending. Claims 22-32 are canceled.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 12, 13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,468,855 dated 10/22/2002).

Leung shows the method substantially as claimed in, Figs. 3A-3F, and corresponding text as: providing a silicon substrate (306) comprising an STI structure (col. 8, lines 30-34) (314) and an overlying dielectric gate layer (307, 308) (col. 8, lines 14-56); depositing a polysilicon layer (303) (col. 6, lines 14-51); forming a pass transistor structure (303) adjacent a storage capacitor structure (313) separated by a predetermined distance (col. 7, lines 26-45) and (col. 8, lines 35-56); carrying out a first ion implantation process (312) to form first and second doped regions adjacent either side of the pass transistor structure, the first doped region defined by the predetermined distance (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18); depositing a spacer dielectric layer (325) (col. 9, line 19-24); etching back the spacer dielectric layer to leave an unetched spacer dielectric layer portion overlying the first doped region while forming a sidewall spacer of a predetermined width overlying a first portion of the second doped region (Fig. 3E) (col.

, lines 9-24); that the predetermined distance is less than about twice the predetermined width (Fig. 3E) (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18); and carrying out a second ion implantation process (311) to form a relatively higher dopant concentration in a second portion of the second doped region (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 1). Leung teaches the step of forming self aligned silicide regions over the second portion, the pass transistor structure and the storage capacitor structure (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 2). Leung teaches that the storage capacitor structure is formed at least partially overlying the STI structure (Fig. 3E) (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 5). Leung teaches that the spacer dielectric layer thickness is about greater than about half of the predetermined distance (Fig. 3E) (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 7). Leung teaches that the pass transistor structure and the storage capacitor structure comprise a memory cell formed over an N doped well region (304) of a P doped silicon substrate (col. 6, line 62-col. 7, line 14) (claim 8). Leung teaches that the first and second doped regions respectively comprise P- and P+ doped regions (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 9). Leung shows the method substantially as claimed in, Figs. 3A-3F, and corresponding text as: providing a silicon substrate (306) comprising an STI structure (col. 8, lines 30-34) (314) and an overlying dielectric gate layer (307, 308) (col. 8, lines 14-56); depositing a polysilicon layer (303) (col. 6, lines 14-51); forming a pass transistor structure (303) adjacent a storage capacitor structure (313) separated by a predetermined distance for forming a first doped region (col. 7, lines 26-45) and (col. 8, lines 35-56); carrying out a first ion implantation process (312) to form the first doped region and a second doped region adjacent the pass transistor structure; (col. 8, line 57-

col. 9, line 18); blanket depositing a spacer dielectric layer (325) (col. 9, line 19-24); etching back the spacer dielectric layer to leave an unetched spacer dielectric layer portion overlying the first doped region while forming a sidewall spacer of a predetermined width overlying a first portion of the second doped region (Fig. 3E) (col. , lines 9-24); that the predetermined distance is less than about twice the sidewall spacer width (Fig. 3E) (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18); and carrying out a second ion implantation process (311) to form a relatively higher dopant concentration in a second portion of the second doped region (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 12). Leung teaches the step of forming silicide regions over the second portion, the pass transistor structure and the storage capacitor structure (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 13). Leung teaches that the storage capacitor structure is formed at least partially overlying the STI structure (Fig. 3E) (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 16). Leung teaches that the pass transistor structure and the storage capacitor structure comprise a memory cell formed over an N doped well region (304) of a P doped silicon substrate (col. 6, line 62-col. 7, line 14) (claim `8). Leung teaches that the first and second doped regions respectively comprise P- and P+ doped regions (col. 8, line 57-col. 9, line 18) (claim 19).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,468,855 dated 10/22/2002).

Leung shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraph.

Leung lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching that: 1) the first doped region is doped to a level of between about  $10^{12}$  and  $10^{14}$  dopant atoms/cm<sup>2</sup> and the second doped region comprises a relatively higher doped region of greater than about  $10^{15}$  dopant atoms/cm<sup>2</sup> (claims 10 and 20).

Leung shows in a different embodiment is formed in p-type source region (44) at an implant dosage about  $10^{14}/\text{cm}^2$ . Leung also shows the p+ type dopant (417) that is implanted with a dosage of about  $10^{16}/\text{cm}^2$ . The invention is used to improve soft-error rate sensitivity of DRAM cell, to minimize the sub-threshold leakage.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method shown in Leung, such that the first doped region is doped to a level of between about  $10^{12}$  and  $10^{14}$  dopant atoms/cm<sup>2</sup> and the second doped region comprises a relatively higher doped region of greater than about  $10^{15}$  dopant

Art Unit: 2812

atoms/cm<sup>2</sup>, as taught by Leung, with the motivation that Leung teaches improved soft-error rate sensitivity of DRAM cell, to minimize the sub-threshold leakage.

6. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,468,855 dated 10/22/2002) in view of Mizushima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,395,621 dated 5/28/2002).

Leung shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraph.

Leung lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching that: 1) the dielectric gate layer comprises material selected from the group consisting of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, HfO<sub>2</sub>, Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, BST, and PZT (claims 4 and 15).

Mizushima shows a semiconductor device with an oxide mediated epitaxial layer. In Fig. 13 D a gate oxide (16) is formed this oxide is made of tantalum oxide (col. 17, line 65-col. 18, line 3). This overcomes the problem of large leakage current between the silicon substrate and the S/D region.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method shown in Leung, such as the dielectric gate layer comprises material selected from the group consisting of Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, HfO<sub>2</sub>, Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, BST, and PZT, as taught by Mizushima, with the motivation that Mizushima solves the problem of large leakage current between the silicon substrate and the S/D region.

7. Claims 3, 11, 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,468,855 dated 10/22/2002).

Leung shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraph.

Leung lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching that: 1) the dielectric gate layer is selected from the group consisting of SiO<sub>2</sub>, nitrided SiO<sub>2</sub>, and oxide/nitride (claims 3 and 14); and 2) the spacer dielectric layer comprises one or more layers selected from the group consisting of silicon oxide, silicon nitride, and silicon oxynitride (claims 11 and 21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method shown in Leung, such as the dielectric gate layer and spacer are formed of group consisting of silicon oxide and silicon nitride respectively, as it is well known in the art that these materials are use as dielectric gate layers and spacers (col. 8, lines 14-29) and (col. 9, lines 19-24).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/9/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner views the fact that the applicant use the terms "about twice" and "predetermined", that the claim would also include dimensions equal to twice, due to the fact that "about twice could be greater than twice."

#### ***Conclusion***

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2812

WLL  
  
November 23, 2005